

# REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF DROMEDARY CAMELS (*Camelus dromedarius*) UNDER AN INTENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

K.M.E. Mohammed<sup>1</sup> and S.E. Al-Mutairi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Animal Reproduction Research Institute (ARRI), P.O. 12556, Giza, AL-Haram, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Camel Research Centre, Sakaka/Al Jouf, P.O. 322, Saudi Arabia

## ABSTRACT

To evaluate the reproductive performance of the camel herd at the Camel Research Centre, records over 20 years were collected and analysed. The effects of camel breeds and ages on the reproductive performance were included in the study. The results revealed that, the overall mean values of ages at first mating, conception and calving were 39.75±0.61; 41.82±0.64 and 54.39±0.64 months, respectively. The overall means of the corresponding body weights were 437.17±6.75, 450.16±7.57 and 519.03±6.86 kg, respectively. Camel breeds have a significant effect ( $P<0.05$ ) on the body weight at first calving. The overall mean of the interval between services was 19.32±0.26 days, with no significant effects of camel breeds and ages. The overall period of post-partum heat was 45.39±2.57 days and was influenced significantly by camel breeds. The overall means of the service period and open days were 74.58±3.62 and 317.61±4.54 days, respectively, and both of camel breeds and ages had a significant effect on these criteria. Camel ages had significant effect on the number of services/conception and this indicated that medium ages (5-11 years) needs less service for conception than other ages. Service period and number of services/conception were significantly less during November to January mating months than that during February to April. The overall mean of calving interval was 19.70±0.34 months. Camel breeds and successive calving seasons had no significant effect on the calving interval. In conclusion, reproductive performance of Dromedary camels depends essentially on the camel breeds and ages.

**Key words:** Camel breeds, dromedary camels, reproductive performance

The production and reproduction in camels are affected by many factors such as late puberty, restricted breeding season, induced ovulation, long gestation period and long calving interval. These factors may constitute a major reason for the long generation interval in camels. The present investigation was aimed to study the reproductive performance of Saudi Arabian camels under intensive management system and will shed more light on the influence of age and camel breeds on their reproductive performance traits.

## Materials and Methods

The records used were collected from the farm of the camel station at the Camel Research Centre at Sakaka, Al Jouf in the northeastern part of Saudi Arabia. These records were used to evaluate the reproductive performance through measurement of the fertility parameters of Arabian camel under intensive controlled management. Based on observations of reproductive parameters included in this study, data of a total 197 female camel were collected from groups of sexually mature camels

during consecutive breeding seasons over a period of 20 years (1985 to 2008). The animals were fed the available hay *ad-libitum* and 2-3 kg concentrate pellets (containing 16% crude protein, 2.5-3.0% crude fat, 4.9-8.5% crude fibre, calcium 0.69-0.7%, phosphorus 0.4% and salt 1%). During breeding season variable amounts of alfalfa were offered to the animals. Supplementary feeding in the form of whole dates and bran were also offered irregularly.

The studied reproductive performance included the following traits which were described by EL-Azab *et al* (1997): (1) Age (months) and weight (kg) at first mating, first conception and first calving, (2) Interval between services (days) within the same breeding season which annually starts at early November to late April and extended to early May, (3) Post-partum period (days); period between calving to onset of the first heat, (4) Service period (days); period extended from calving to successful conception within the same breeding season, (5) Open days period (days); elapsed from calving to last successful service which extended to forthcoming breeding season, (6) Number

SEND REPRINT REQUEST TO K.M.E. MOHAMMED [email: kamel14@hotmail.com](mailto:kamel14@hotmail.com)

of services/conception, (7) Calving interval (days); period between two consecutive calvings.

The influence of camel breeds and ages on these traits were studied. Data obtained according to available observations of reproductive traits were tabulated into 2 fixed factors (camel breeds and ages) and statistically analysed using the SPSS version 9 for Windows statistical software package. The data was also subjected to analysis of variance using General Linear Model (GLM) procedure and post Hoc multiple comparisons for observed means by Duncan's test for performances in relation to the suggested factors.

## Results

As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the overall mean values of ages at first mating, conception and calving were 39.75±0.61; 41.82±0.64 and 54.39±0.64 months, respectively. The overall means of the corresponding body weights were 437.17±6.75, 450.16±7.57 and 519.03±6.86 kg, respectively.

The results indicated that, the differences between the camel breeds (Magahiem, Maghatier, Hamrah and Safrah) were not significant for the studied ages and body weights at first services and conception. However, Safrah camel breed had lesser ( $P<0.05$ ) body weight (499.00±14.04 Kg) at first calving than other camel breeds.

**Table 1.** Influence of camel breeds on the ages at first service, conception and calving (Mean±SE).

Camel Breeds	No. of observations	Ages (Months)		
		1 <sup>st</sup> Service	1 <sup>st</sup> Conception	1 <sup>st</sup> Calving
Magahiem	38	41.21±1.34	42.90±1.41	55.53±1.41
Maghatier	60	39.33±1.07	40.77±1.13	53.36±1.12
Safrah	46	40.09±1.22	42.65±1.28	55.21±1.28
Hamrah	44	38.36±1.25	40.96±1.31	53.48±1.31
Overall	188	39.75±0.61	41.82±0.64	54.39±0.64

**Table 2.** Influence of camel breeds on the body weight at first service, conception and calving (Mean±SE).

Camel Breeds	No. of observations	Body weights (kg)		
		1 <sup>st</sup> Service	1 <sup>st</sup> Conception	1 <sup>st</sup> Calving
Magahiem	14	442.57±15.66	456.29±17.58	504.57±15.92 <sup>ab</sup>
Maghatier	44	444.77±8.84	460.41±9.91	530.05±8.98 <sup>ab</sup>
Safrah	18	439.44±13.81	445.56±15.50	499.00±14.04 <sup>b</sup>
Hamrah	16	421.88±14.65	438.38±16.44	542.50±14.89 <sup>a</sup>
Overall	92	437.17±6.75	450.16±7.57	519.03±6.86

Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly ( $P<0.05$ ).

The overall mean values of the interval between services were 19.72±0.51, 19.13±0.42, 19.56±0.78 and 19.50±0.50 days for Magahiem, Maghatier, Safah and Hamrah camel breeds, respectively, whereas, the mean values of the same criteria for camel ages ≤5, 5-7, 7-9, 9-11 and ≥11 years were 19.28±0.32, 18.78±0.63, 20.41±0.84, 19.34±0.84 and 18.93±0.90 days, respectively (Table 3). Camel breeds and ages didn't have a significant effect on this trait.

The effect of camel breeds and ages on post-partum period are illustrated in table 4. Results indicated that, Hamrah camel breed had significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) shorter period (36.83±3.73 days) than other breeds. However, no significant effect to camel ages on the post-partum period was seen and the overall period was 45.39±2.57 days.

The influences of camel breeds and ages on the service periods are illustrated in table 5. Results indicated that, Hamrah camel breed had significant ( $P<0.05$ ) longer period (93.21±7.42 days) than other breeds, at the same time, female camel ages ≥11 years old had significant ( $P<0.05$ ) longer period (90.88±10.85 days) than other studied ages.

The effects of camel breeds and ages on the open days period are shown in table 6. The open days period were affected by camel breeds and ages, where, Maghatier camel breed recorded longer ( $P<0.05$ ) period (329.51±7.50 days) than other breeds, and the camel ages 5-7 years reported longer ( $P<0.05$ ) periods (342.46±7.59 days) than other studied ages. The influences of camel breeds and ages on the No. of service/conception are illustrated in table 7. The overall mean value of number of services/conception was 2.57±0.02 services. Results indicated that, female camels ages had significant effect ( $P<0.05$ ) on the number of service/conception and this indicated by medium ages (7 to 9 years) needs less services for conception (2.05±0.20), on the other hand younger (≤5 years) and older ages (≥11 years) needs more services for conception (2.88±0.17 and 2.81±0.27, respectively) (Table 7). However, the camel breeds had no significant effect on this trait.

Measurement of calving interval is an important herd performance monitoring tool. As depicted in table 8, the overall mean of calving interval was 19.70±0.34 months; and no significant differences in the calving interval between camel breeds and successive calving seasons. However, camel ages showed substantial difference in the interval, as she-camels age advanced the calving interval also

increased and this phenomenon was denoted up to the 6<sup>th</sup> calving season (Table 8). Calving interval distribution among she-camel population is shown in Fig 1. The calving interval classes were <15, 15-17, 18-20, 21-23 and >24 months and the corresponding percentages were 7.27%, 17.27%, 35.46%, 31.82% and 8.18% of the she-camels, respectively.

### Discussion

The reproductive function beginning by onset of puberty is affected remarkably by change in body weight. Attainment of puberty is influenced by the overall growth and weight of the animal which in turn is affected by nutrition (Marai *et al*, 2007). Ages at first service, conception and calving may constitute major reasons for the long generation interval in camels. In the present study, the overall mean values

of ages and weights at first mating, conception and calving were 39.75, 41.82, 54.39 months; 437.17, 450.16 and 519.03 kg, respectively. These results were in agreement with those reported in different countries as Magrebi Arabia, where the age at first conception and first calving were reported to be 37 and 49.4 months, respectively (Sghiri, 1988). Moreover, Mounir and Borni (2012) recorded age of first successful mating of Maghreby Negga camel varied between 33 to 48 months with an average of 39 months and the age at first parturition varies from 32-68 months. In Turkmenistan, Arvana camels mated for the first time at 3 years of age and 350-400 kg of live weight (Dmitriez and Ernst, 1989). In the Sudan, 66.7% of the female camels were bred at 3 years of age (Abbas and Musa, 1989). In the United Arab Emirates, ages at first service and calving were 43.1±0.58 and 55.3±2.87

**Table 3.** Influence of camel breeds and ages on the interval between services (Mean±SE).

Ages	No. of observations	Camel Breeds (Number of observations)				Overall (no.=687)
		Magahiem (no.=186)	Maghatier (no.=238)	Safrah (no.=90)	Hamrah (no.=173)	
≤ 5	339	18.55±0.82	19.37±0.63	19.46±0.92	19.57±0.66	19.28±0.32
5-7	105	17.93±1.65	19.22±0.89	19.58±1.84	17.65±1.55	18.78±0.63
7-9	90	21.86±1.20	18.88±1.11	18.00±4.51	21.29±1.55	20.41±0.84
9-11	72	20.09±1.13	18.92±1.84	21.00±2.02	16.62±1.77	19.34±0.84
≥ 11	81	20.61±1.20	18.17±1.50	18.20±2.85	17.45±1.43	18.93±0.90
Overall	687	19.72±0.51	19.13±0.42	19.56±0.78	19.50±0.50	19.32±0.26

**Table 4.** Influence of camel breeds and ages on the post-partum period (Mean±SE).

Ages	No. of observations	Camel Breeds (Number of observations)				Overall (no.=108)
		Magahiem (no.=25)	Maghatier (no.=32)	Safrah (no.=26)	Hamrah (no.=25)	
≤ 5	23	34.67±12.11	38.67±8.57	42.00±12.11	29.00±12.11	36.29±5.05
5-7	20	70.00±12.11	51.00±12.12	51.00±12.11	33.33±12.00	51.33±6.34
7-9	22	45.00±12.11	40.67±12.00	32.00±14.84	35.40±9.39	38.31±4.54
9-11	21	58.00±12.11	58.00±12.17	69.00±12.11	31.00±12.00	54.00±6.61
≥ 11	22	51.67±12.00	40.00±12.12	58.00±9.39	48.50±14.84	50.92±5.21
Overall	108	48.67±4.51 <sup>a</sup>	46.64±5.44 <sup>a</sup>	52.74±4.38 <sup>a</sup>	36.83±3.73 <sup>b</sup>	45.39±2.57

Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

**Table 5.** Influence of camel breeds and ages on the service periods/ days (Mean±SE).

Ages	No. of observations	Camel Breeds (Number of observations)				Overall (no.=197)
		Magahiem (no.=39)	Maghatier (no.=44)	Safrah (no.=56)	Hamrah (no.=58)	
≤ 5	52	45.00±15.35	48.14±12.97	70.00±15.35	87.44±11.44	65.35±6.38 <sup>b</sup>
5-7	50	75.00±17.16	44.00±15.35	82.88±12.14	100.88±12.14	79.60±8.05 <sup>ab</sup>
7-9	40	73.50±17.20	86.00±17.18	51.75±17.16	95.25±12.10	80.35±8.17 <sup>ab</sup>
9-11	30	82.75±17.16	56.67±19.82	43.00±15.35	66.00±19.82	60.93±7.20 <sup>b</sup>
≥ 11	25	82.40±21.71	113.67±19.82	73.33±14.01	149.00±34.33	90.88±10.85 <sup>a</sup>
Overall	197	69.54±7.41 <sup>b</sup>	64.18±7.62 <sup>b</sup>	66.96±5.80 <sup>b</sup>	93.21±7.42 <sup>a</sup>	74.58±3.62

Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

**Table 6.** Influence of camel breeds and ages on the open days period (Mean±SE).

Ages	No. of observations	Camel Breeds (Number of observations)				Overall (no.=272)
		Magahiem (no.=46)	Maghatier (no.=81)	Safrah (no.=63)	Hamrah (no.=82)	
≤ 5	78	300.71±17.32	345.88±12.96	343.54±13.23	282.60±16.73	324.89±7.28 <sup>bc</sup>
5-7	55	365.40±28.97	356.40±14.49	336.29±17.32	323.25±16.20	342.46±7.59 <sup>c</sup>
7-9	45	305.40±28.97	262.75±22.91	275.80±28.97	327.00±22.91	293.23±17.59 <sup>ab</sup>
9-11	44	297.50±32.39	301.40±28.97	315.86±24.49	227.67±21.60	278.28±16.62 <sup>a</sup>
≥ 11	50	282.80±28.97	317.65±13.51	299.92±17.97	327.22±21.59	311.28±8.43 <sup>ab</sup>
Overall	272	308.12±12.20 <sup>ab</sup>	329.51±7.50 <sup>b</sup>	324.48±7.30 <sup>ab</sup>	297.82±8.63 <sup>a</sup>	317.61±4.54

Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).  
 Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

**Table 7.** Influence of camel breeds and ages on the number of services/conception (Mean±SE).


Ages	No. of observations	Camel Breeds (Number of observations)				Overall (no.=319)
		Magahiem (no.=62)	Maghatier (no.=87)	Safrah (no.=82)	Hamrah (no.=88)	
≤ 5	121	2.92±0.48	2.76±0.26	2.37±0.33	3.39±0.29	2.88±0.17 <sup>b</sup>
5-7	74	2.53±0.45	2.42±0.35	2.19±0.43	2.21±0.40	2.34±0.18 <sup>ab</sup>
7-9	43	2.44±0.58	2.36±0.46	1.13±0.61	2.00±0.50	2.05±0.20 <sup>a</sup>
9-11	34	2.78±0.58	2.18±0.53	2.43±0.66	1.86±0.66	2.32±0.29 <sup>ab</sup>
≥ 11	47	3.14±0.66	3.27±0.45	2.33±0.45	2.60±0.55	2.81±0.27 <sup>b</sup>
Overall	319	2.57±0.22	2.61±0.15	2.16±0.18	2.72±0.20	2.57±0.02

Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

**Table 8.** Influence of camel breeds and calving seasons on the calving interval/ months (Mean±SE).

Successive Calving Seasons	No. of Animals	Camel Breeds				overall mean (no.=110)
		Magahiem (no.=19)	Maghatier (no.=38)	Safrah (no.=27)	Hamrah (no.=26)	
2nd	25	18.29±1.31	21.06±1.15	19.40±1.55	18.38±1.73	19.52 ±1.04
3rd	22	18.33±1.73	19.74±1.15	18.19±1.31	20.17±2.45	19.03 ±0.51
4th	29	18.50±3.46	18.80±1.10	19.03±3.14	19.50±1.10	19.09 ±0.46
5th	12	22.80±1.73	21.87±2.00	20.40±2.45	16.73±2.00	20.55±1.03
6th	13	17.89±2.00	22.83±1.41	19.92±2.45	25.67±2.45	21.68±0.95
≥7th	9	No Data	20.86±2.00	20.28±2.00	19.09±1.55	19.69 ±0.70
Total	110	19.19±1.00	20.49±0.56	19.19±0.67	19.46±0.56	19.70±0.34

months, respectively (Aboul-Ela, 1991). In Libya, ages at first service and first calving were 36.9±1.10 and 50.3±1.28 months, respectively (Hermas and Sharieha, 1991). In contrast, the current findings were less than 61-62 months which reported for Bikaneri camels as age at first calving (Beniwal and Chaudhry, 1984 and Khanna *et al*, 1990). Moreover, in Pakistan (Yasin and Wahid, 1957); Indian (Khanna *et al*, 1990); Sudan (Kohler-Rollefson *et al*, 1990); Horn of Africa (Hartley, 1984) and Kenya (Karimi and Kimenye, 1990) the she-camels were reported to reach puberty at 4-5 years of age. The variation in these observations may be related to feeding and management and/or breed differences. However, the onset of puberty is remarkably independent on body weight. Therefore,



**Fig 1.** Calving interval distribution among she camel population.



dromedary camels reproductive performance in terms of age at puberty, at first conception and at first birth can be improved by ensuring adequate nutrition in early life and improvement of managerial and environmental conditions as well as by using hormonal treatment that can assist early sexual development and breeding maturity (Arthur and Al-Rahim, 1982; Simpkin, 1987; Zaied, 1991; Formigoni *et al*, 1996 and Mounir and Borni, 2012).

The overall mean of the interval between services within the same breeding season which starts at early November to late April was  $19.32 \pm 0.26$  days. The present findings agree with those recorded by EL-Azab *et al* (1997) where the mean interval between services within the same breeding season was  $15.83 \pm 0.39$  days. The oestrous cycle in the she-camel is incomplete when compared to that of the ungulates (Marai *et al*, 2007). Al-Eknaah *et al* (1993) divided the follicular cycle into a growth phase ( $10.5 + 0.5$  days), a mature phase ( $7.6 + 0.8$  days) and a regression phase ( $11.9 + 0.8$  days). Nawito *et al* (1967) hypothesised the interval between two services is expressed as the interval between two oestrus or follicular cycle. Duration of oestrous cycle averaged 24.2 days in Egypt (Nawito *et al*, 1967), 23.4 days in India (Joshi *et al*, 1978) and 28 days in Sudan (Musa and Abusineina, 1978). Camels oestrous cycle duration have been ranged 11-35 days in Egypt (Nawito *et al*, 1967); 16-30 days in Saudi Arabia (Al-Eknaah *et al*, 1993) and 10-28 days in Magarabi camels (EL-Azab *et al*, 1997). In the present study such interval ranged from 10-40 days and the camel breeds and ages didn't have a significant effect on this trait. However, EL-Azab *et al* (1997), attributed the differences between camel follicular cycle and subsequently interval between service to hereditary factor.

The overall period from calving to first onset heat (postpartum period) was  $45.39 \pm 2.57$  days. Similarly, other studies indicating that the postpartum heat occurs 14-30 days after calving (Novoa, 1970; Evans and Powys, 1979; Abdel-Rahim and El-Nazier, 1992) and between 10-72 days with mean  $26.34 \pm 1.89$  days for Magarabi female camels (EL-Azab *et al*, 1997). In this study, it has been observed that the majority of female camels calved at the beginning of a breeding season came into the first postpartum heat after 13-103 days after calving within the same breeding season. It is importance to notice that in camels even with the early induction of heat after calving, the majority of females fail to conceive within the same breeding season, and this might be due to the effects of lactation, feeding status of the

animals, body weight and conformation and feed availability (Shalash, 1965; Shareha *et al*, 1982; Arthur, 1992 and Mounir and Borni, 2012). However, Hermas (1990) noticed the postpartum heat in Magarabi camels to occur  $233.97 \pm 14.90$  days after calving and authors attributed this longer period to the delay in oestrus detection for the parturient females till the forthcoming breeding season.

The overall mean of service periods was  $74.58 \pm 3.62$  days, came relative to value  $51.9 \pm 12.1$  days which recorded by Hermas and Sharieha (1991) in Magrebi camel. In the present study, The overall mean of open days herein was  $317.61 \pm 4.54$  days, in accordance with EL-Azab *et al* (1997) and Hermas (1990), whereas open days period elapsed from calving to conception for Magarabi female camel were  $308.02 \pm 6.95$  days and  $286.80 \pm 12.70$  days. However, a recent study recorded shorter interval ( $147 \pm 131$  days) between calving to successful mating of Maghreby Negga camel (Mounir and Borni, 2012).

The overall mean value of the number of services/conception was  $2.57 \pm 0.02$  services. Similarly, in Libya, Hermas and Shareha (1991) reported that services/conception was  $1.84 \pm 0.15$ , while in United Arab Emirates, Aboul-Ela (1991) showed that the services/conception were  $1.63 \pm 0.16$  and the conception percentage occurred from first service was 58% and only 20% of she camels required  $\geq 3$  services before pregnancy. In this study and from the available observations it has been observed that in Saudi Arabia, camels' breeding season start from early November to late April and it may extend to early May. During this period both males and females remain fertile. On the other hand, summer months (July to September) are considered as a non-breeding season for local camel breeds (male and female) and the reproductive activities of camels were affected adversely by the heat stress and the function of the high ambient temperature (Habeeb *et al*, 1992; Marai and Habeeb, 1998 and Marai *et al*, 2002).

Generally, in this study, the traits of service period (days) and number of services/conception were significantly affected by months of mating. It has been observed that, service period and number of services/conception were significantly less ( $P < 0.05$ ) during November to January ( $43.74 \pm 2.39$  days and  $2.22 \pm 0.12$  services) than for that during February to April ( $77.68 \pm 3.27$  days and  $3.75 \pm 0.16$  services) (untabulated data). Thus, the pattern of the camels' reproductive cycle appears to related to the environment in which they live (Novoa,

1970). The breeding season differs in the various countries. In Pakistan (Yasin and Wahid, 1957), China (Chen *et al*, 1985), Egypt (Shalash, 1965) and Israel (Yagil and Etzion, 1980), the breeding season of camels starts from December to April. In Somalia, Mares (1954) observed that the male camel ruts in the spring months (April and May). In India, the breeding period is from November to February (Singh and Prakash, 1964). Contrarily, in the Sudan, Musa and Abusinea (1978) reported the breeding season to be from March to August. Similarly, in Eritrea the breeding of camels starts at the beginning of the rainy season in July and continues throughout, but if camels are in good condition and the plenty of forage is available, the breeding males become sexually active and females are fertile and receptive at any season (Gebrehiwet, 1997). It was also noted that the majority of the females in the herd were mated in the summer (rainy season, commencing in July) and the rest in the winter (short rainy season, commencing in January) when forage was plentiful (Marai and Habeeb, 1998). Long calving intervals are the most major factor contributing to poor reproductive performance of camels. Under extensive management system calving interval lasts for more than 24 months (Evans and Powys, 1979). However, the calving interval of camels may reach eighteen months, similar to that of cows (Knoess, 1976). The overall mean of calving interval was  $19.70 \pm 0.34$  months; and no significant difference in the calving interval between camel breeds and successive calving seasons. These results are in agreement with that reported by Basmaeil *et al* (1994) in Saudi Arabia where the calving interval for five successive breeding seasons was  $20.58 \pm 0.82$  months. Similarly, Mounir and Borni (2012) cited that, the intervals between calving of Maghreby Negga camel was  $526 \pm 145$  days. Moreover, Dmitriez and Ernst (1989) in Turkmenistan obtained in their study 2 calves/3years. As well as, in Kenya, Evans and Powys (1979) observed an average calving interval of 22 months if young survives. In the same purport, the present findings were longer than those reported by Richard *et al* (1985) in Niger (15.0 months); Mosleh (1991) in Tunisia ( $13.45 \pm 0.27$  months) and Köhler-Rollefson (1991) in Sudan (14-15 months). In contrast, the present calving interval was shorter than those recorded by Aboul-Ela (1991) in United Arab Emirates ( $24.4 \pm 0.68$  months); Khanna *et al* (1990) in India ( $25.73 \pm 0.27$  months); Hermas and Sharieha (1991) in Magrebi Arabia countries ( $22.62 \pm 0.40$  to  $24.0 \pm 8.2$  months); Dioli (1991) in East Africa (24.0

months); Aslam *et al* (2002) in Pakistan ( $23.5 \pm 1.33$  months); Schwartz *et al* (1983) in Kenya (28 months) and Herren (1993) in Somalia (29 months). The disagreement of these observations was attributed to differences in she camels' gestation length and seasonality of breeding (Wilson, 1984 and Arthur *et al*, 1985); late post-partum oestrus (Mukasa-Mugerwa, 1981) and individual variation in open days period (Aboul-Ela, 1991).

Among the studied camel herd, the calving interval distribution classes were <15, 15-17, 18-20, 21-23 and >24 months with corresponding percentages were 7.27%, 17.27%, 35.46%, 31.82% and 8.18% of the she-camels, respectively. Similarly, in Mali, Swift (1979) reported that, 20.9%, 27.9%, 44.2% and 7.0% of a herd of she-camels showed calving intervals of ranges 12-15, 16-23, 24-25 and >25 months, respectively. Also, in United Arab Emirates, Aboul-Ela (1991) indicated that the intervals between calving is <20 months for 14.4% of she-camels. Moreover, in Kenya, Bremaud (1969) demonstrated, 11.5%, 3.9%, 53.5% and 30.8% of she-camels herd with calving intervals 12-15, 16-23, 24-25 and >25 months, respectively. Herren (1993) reported that in Somalia a period of 28 months was estimated as a calving interval in 35-40% of a herd of she-camel. Generally, the current calving interval showed 3 types of calving intervals. Short calving intervals of the average 14 months that was observed to correlate with breeding female camels aborted at late stage of pregnancy and in cases of calf death after delivery. In this case, the dam was submitted to a bull camel for conception within one month. The medium calving interval (between 16 and 18 months) was seen when the female camels delivered at the beginning of the breeding season, and calves were weaned as early as 75 days of age, and their dams were rebred and became pregnant at the end of the same season. Long calving interval ( $\geq 23$  months) was observed when the female camels were kept milking to satisfy the milk demand of the calves.

## Conclusion

Reproductive traits, in terms of post-partum period, service period and open days are dependent on the camel breeds, and this indicates the importance of heritability as a value that express and measure average additive gene effect. However, further research is needed to determine the correlation between genetic merits of camel breeds and total productivity including reproductive performance, which may be better in some camel breeds than others.

## References

- Abbas B and Musa BE (1989). Observations on camel husbandry with special emphasis on reproductive performance of the female camel in Northern Butana-Sudan. *Camel Newsletter* (5):8.
- Abdel-Rahim SEA and El-Nazier AT (1992). Studeis on the sexual behaviour of the dromedary camel. *Proceedings of 1<sup>st</sup> International Camel Conference* pp 115-118.
- Aboul-Ela MB (1991). Reproductive performance of camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) under field conditions in the United Arab Emirates. *The International Conference on Camel Production and Improvement (Toburk)*. pp 93-100.
- Al-EknaH MM, Dafalla EA, Homeida AM, Galil AKA and Al-Taher AY (1993). Spontaneous uterine activity during the oestrous cycle of the camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Animal Reproduction Science* 32:91-97.
- Arthur GH (1992). An overview of reproduction in the camelidaes. *Proceedings of 1<sup>st</sup> International Camel Conference*. pp 109-113.
- Arthur GH and Al-Rahim AT (1982). Aspects of reproduction in the female camel (*C. dromedarius*) in Saudi Arabia. *Veterinary Medical Review* 1:83-88.
- Arthur GH, Rahim ATA and Al-Hindi AS (1985). Reproduction and genital diseases of the camel. In: Higgins, AJ. *The Camel in Health and Disease*. Bailliere and Tindall; London, JK, pp 110-120.
- Aslam M, Nawaz M, Ali I, Ziaur Rahman M, Sandhu MA (2002). Determination of productive and reproductive traits in mountain camel. *Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production*, Montpellier, France, August, 2002. Session 7. 0-4. ISBN: 2-7380-1052.
- Basmaeil SM, Aboheif MA, Bakkar MN, Mohizea IS, Hussein MF, Dawood AA, Ablehia IH and Al-Kanhal MA (1994). Studies on Najdi camels productivity and utilization of their milk and meat. *King Abdulaziz City For Science and Technology (ARP-6-60)*, Final Report.
- Beniwal BK and Chaudhry AL (1984). Age at first calving in Mikaneri camel. *Indian Journal of Animal Science* 54(6):598-599.
- Bremaud O (1969). *Trans. ILCA. Notes on camel production in the northern districts of the Republic of Kenya*. Maisons-Alfort, IEMVT (Institut d'Elevage et de Médecine Vétérinaire des Pays Tropicaux). pp 105.
- Chen BX, Yuen ZX and Pan GW (1985). Semen Induced Ovulation in the Bactrian Camel (*Camelus bactrinus*). *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 74:335-339.
- Dioli M (1991). Reproduction in Camels in a traditional pastoral system in East Africa: Short comments on a slide show. *Camel Newsletter* (8):24.
- Dmitriez NG and Ernst LK (1989). *Animal Genetic Resources of the USSR*. *Animal Production and Health Paper Publ. by FAO, Rome*. pp 517.
- El-Azab AI, El-Galy MA, Sasi MF and El-Marimi AA (1997). Dependency of some reproductive performances in Magarabi female camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal* 72:87-93.
- Evans JO and Powys JG (1979). Camel husbandry to increase the productivity of ranchland. In: *Camel IFS Symposium, Sudan*. pp 241-250.
- Formigoni A, Cornil MC, Prandi A, Mordenti A, Rossi A, Portetelle D, Renaville R (1996). Effect of propylene glycol supplementation around parturition on milk yield, reproductive performance and some hormonal and metabolic characteristics in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Research* 63:11-24.
- Gebrehiwet T (1997). An assessment of the efficacy of deltamethrin with HCH for the treatment of sarcoptic mange in camels. *Tropical Animal Health Production* 29(1):33-34.
- Habeeb AA, Marai IFM and Kamal TH (1992). Heat stress. In *Farm Animals and the Environment* edited by C. Phillips and D. Piggens. CAB International. pp 27-47
- Hartley BJ (1984). The dromedary of the Horn of Africa. In *The Camelid - An All-Purpose Animal, Volume I*, 77-97 (Ed. Cockrill, W. R.). Uppsala: Scandinavian Institute of African Studies.
- Hermas SA and Shariha AM (1991). Reproductive performance of Magrabi camel of Libya. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Camel Production and Improvement*. Tabruk 10 .13 Dec.
- Hermas S (1990). Measuring the rate of growth of young Jamahiriya camels. *International Conference on the Development and the Development of Production Camels 10 to 13 December, 1990*. Tobruk-Libya, bulletin Camel Patrol 7:38.
- Herren URS (1993). Camel milk production and marketing in Yaq Bariweyne area. Southern Somalia. Somali camel research project - Working paper no.32. Somali Academy of Sciences and Arts; Upsala University.
- Joshi CK, Vyas KK and Pareek PK (1978). Studies on oestrus cycle in Bikanir she-camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Indian Journal of Animal Science* 48:141-148.
- Karimi SK and Kimenye DM (1990). Some observations on the reproductive performance of Camel kept in Marsabit, Northern Kenya *Proceedings of the Workshop: Is it possible to improve the reproductive performance of the camel?* Sept. 10-12, IEMVT, Paris.
- Khanna ND, Tandon SN and Rai AK (1990). Reproductive status of Bikaneri camels managed under farm conditions. *Proceedings of the Workshop: Is it possible to improve the reproductive performance of the camel?* Sept. 10-12, IEMVT, Paris.
- Knoess KH (1976). *Assignment report on animal production in the Middle Awash Valley*. FAO Rome.
- Köhler-Rollefson IU (1991). *Camelus dromedarius*. *Mammalian Species* (375):1-8.
- Kohler-Rollefson IU, Musa BE and Fadl M (1990). Camel breeding and management among the Rashaida of eastern Sudan. *Camel Newsletter* 6.
- Marai IFM and Habeeb AAM (1998). Adaptation of *Bos taurus* cattle under hot climate conditions *Annals of Arid Zone* 37(3):253-281.
- Marai IFM, El-Darawany AA, Fadiel A and Abdel-Hafez MAM (2007). Physiological traits as affected by heat stress



- in sheep – a review. *Small Ruminant Res.*, 71, 1-12.
- Mares, R.G. 1954. Animal husbandry, animal industry and animal disease in Somaliland Protectorate. *British Veterinary Journal* 100:411-423.
- Marai IFM, Habeeb AAM and Gad AE (2002). Rabbits' productive, reproductive and physiological performance traits as affected by heat stress: a review. *Livestock Production Science* 78:71-90.
- Mosleh A (1991). Improvement of Camel productivity in Tunisia. *Camel Newsletter* (8):13.
- Mounir K and Borni J (2012). Reproductive Performance Improvement of Maghreby Negga by Zootechnic Practices. Proc. of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Conference of the International Society of Camelid Research and Development (3<sup>rd</sup> ISOCARD), Muscat, Sultanate of Oman, 29<sup>th</sup> of January to the 1<sup>st</sup> of February.
- Mukasa-Mugerwa E (1981). The camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). A bibliographical review. ILCA monograph No. 5, Int. Livestock Centre for Africa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, pp 147.
- Musa BE and Abusineina ME (1978). The oestrous cycle of the camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Veterinary Record* 103:556-557.
- Nawito MF, Shalash MR, Hoppe R and Rakha AM (1967). Reproduction in Female camel. *Nat. Res. Cent. Bull.* 2, Egypt. pp 82.
- Novoa C (1970). Reproduction in the Camelidae: A Review. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 32:3-20.
- Richard D, Planchenault D and Giovannett JF (1985). Project de developement de l'elevage dans le Niger Center-Est. Production Cameline. Rapport final. Maisons-Alfort, IEMVT. pp 125.
- Schwartz HJ, Dolan R and Wilson AJ (1983). Camel production in Kenya and its constrains. I. Productivity. *Tropical Animal Health Production* 15:169-178.
- Sghiri AM (1988). Evaluation of reproductive efficiency of camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Al Ayoune. Thesis. Hassan II Institute for Agronomy and Veterinary Medicine. Rabat, Morocco.
- Shalash MR (1965). Some reproductive aspects in the female camel. *World Review of Animal Reproduction* 14:103-118.
- Shareha AM, Magdoub AB and Zaied AA (1982). Investigation on reproductive performance of the female camel (AI-NAGA) *Camelus dromedarius*. *Libyan Journal ric.* 1:43-45.
- Simpkin SP (1987). A summary of the increased productivity in camels as a result of the application of a veterinary package. Paper presented at a Workshop Camel Mgmt. Ecol., June 1987. Landskrona, Sweden. Landskrona, terra Nova.
- Singh V and Prakash A (1964). Mating behaviour of camel. *Indian Veterinary Journal* 41:75-477.
- Swift JJ (1979). The economics of traditional nomadic pastoralism. The Tuareg of the Adar n Iforas (Mali). Ph. D. Thesis, University of Sussex: Brighton, UK.
- Wilson RT (1984). *The Camel*. Longman, London and New York.
- Yagil R and Etzion Z (1980). Milk yields of camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in drought areas. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* 67:207-209.
- Yasin SA and Wahid A (1957). Pakistan camels, a preliminary survey. *Agriculture Pakistan* 8:289.
- Zaied AA (1991). Reproduction in Camels. The International Conference on Camel Production and Improvement, 10-13 Dec., Tobruk, Libya. pp 77.